arXiv:1610.01063v2 [math.NT] 8 Oct 2016

Quasiperfect numbers with the same exponent*†

Tomohiro Yamada

Abstract

We study some divisibility properties of quasiperfect numbers. We show that if $N = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t)^{2a} = m^2$ is quasiperfect, then $2a+1$ is divisible by 3 and N has at least one prime factor smaller than $\exp 777.2427$. We also find a general lower bound for the smallerst prime factor of quasiperfect numbers. Moreover, we find some upper bounds concerning quasiperfect numbers of the form $N = m^2$ with m squarefree.

1 Introduction

A positive integer N is called to be perfect if $\sigma(N) = 2N$, where $\sigma(N)$ denotes the sum of divisors of N. As is well known, an even integer N is perfect if and only if $N = 2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$ with $2^k - 1$ prime. In contrast, it is one of the oldest unsolved problems whether there exists an odd perfect number or not. Moreover, it is also unknown that there exists an odd multiperfect number, an integer N with $\sigma(N) = kN$ for some integer $k \geq 2$.

Cattaneo[1] called a positive integer N quasiperfect if $\sigma(N) = 2N + 1$ and showed that such an integer must be an odd square, any proper divisor m of N satisfies $\sigma(m) < 2m$ and any divisor of $\sigma(N)$ is congruent to 1 or 3 modulo 8. Hagis and Cohen[9] showed that if N is quasiperfect, then $N > 10^{35}$ and N has at least 7 distinct prime factors.

It is well-known that an odd perfect number must be of the form $q^b p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2} \cdots p_t^{2a_t}$ for some integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t, b$ and distinct primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t, q$ with $q \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. In the special case $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_t = a$, several results are known as follows:

1) If $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_t = a$, we know that $a \geq 9$ and $a \neq 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 62$, combining results in [3][10][13][15][16][19].

2) If d divides $2a_i + 1$ for all i, then d cannot be one of $3, 35, 65$, combining results in [6][15][16].

3) The author showed that, for any given a, there exists only finitely many odd perfect numbers of the form $q^b(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t)^{2a}$ [20].

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11A36, 11Y05, 11Y70.

†Key words and phrases: Quasiperfect numbers, odd perfect numbers, sum of divisors, arithmetic functions.

4) Fletcher, Nielsen and Ochem[7] showes that an odd perfect number $q^b p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2} \cdots p_t^{2a_t}$ for which there exists a finite set S of primes such that each $2a_i+1$ divisible by a prime in S must have a prime factor below an effectively computable constant C depending on S. In [22], the author gave an explicit upper bound for C.

Some results similar to 1), 2) above are known for quasiperfect numbers of the form $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t)^{2a}$ for a given integer a. Cohen[2] showed that a must be congruent to $1, 3, 5, 9$ or $11 \pmod{12}$. Moreover, if an integer of the form $p_1^{6a_1+2} p_2^{6a_2+2} \cdots p_t^{6a_t+2}$ is quasiperfect, then $t \geq 230876$. We would like to begin by extending this result, which follows from some elementary consideration.

Theorem 1.1. *If $N = p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2} \cdots p_t^{2a_t} = m^2$ is quasiperfect, then there exists a prime factor $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ for which $2a_j+1$ does not have a divisor congruent to $5 \pmod 8$. Moreover, if $N = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t)^{2a} = m^2$ is quasiperfect, then*

a) All prime factor of N must be congruent to 1 or $7 \pmod 8$.

b) $2a+1 \equiv 3 \pmod 8$ and all prime factor of $2a+1$ must be congruent to 1 or $3 \pmod 8$.

c) $2a+1$ must be divisible by 3.

Proof. Since $\sigma(N) = 2N+1 = 2m^2+1 \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, we have $\sigma(p_j^{2a_j}) \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$ for some j, for which, by Cattaneo's result mentioned above, $\sigma(p_j^{2a_j}) \equiv 3 \pmod 8$ cannot have a prime factor congruent to 5 or 7 modulo 8. If d divides $2a_j+1$, then $\sigma(p_j^{d-1})$ divides $\sigma(p_j^{2a_j}) \mid \sigma(N) = 2m^2+1$ and therefore has only prime factors congruent to 1 or 3 modulo 8. Since $\sigma(p_j^{2a_j}) \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, we must have $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. If $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, then $d \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 8$ and, if $p_j \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, then $d \equiv 1$ or $7 \pmod 8$. Hence d cannot be congruent to 5 modulo 8. This proves the former part of the theorem.

Next, assume that $N = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t)^{2a} = m^2$ is quasiperfect. By Cohen's result mentioned above, we must have $2a+1 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Hence N has no prime factor congruent to $3 \pmod 8$. In particular, $N = m^2$ is not divisible by 3 and therefore $\sigma(N) = 2m^2+1$ must be divisible by 3. Hence there exists a prime factor p_k for which $\sigma(p_k^{2a})$ is divisible by 3. This implies $p_k \equiv a \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. So that $2a+1$ must be divisible by 3 as stated in c). Since $p_i^2+p_i+1$ divides $\sigma(N)$, $p_i^2+p_i+1$ must be congruent to 1 or 3 modulo 8 and therefore $p_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$ for any i, proving a). By the former part of the theorem, $p_s \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ for some s. If d divides $2a_s+1$, then $\sigma(p_s^{d-1}) \mid \sigma(p_s^{2a}) \mid \sigma(N) = 2m^2+1$ and therefore $d \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 8$. Finally, Cohen's result mentioned above yields that a cannot be a multiple of 4 and therefore $2a+1 \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, which proves b). □

No similar result to 3) has been known for quasiperfect numbers and neither has the author been able to prove such a result. Instead, using sieve argument similar to one used in [7][22] to prove 4), we can prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *If $N = (p_1p_2\cdots p_t)^{2a} = m^2$ is quasiperfect, then N must have a prime factor below $C_0 = \exp 777.2427 < 3.5663 \times 10^{337}$.*

We shall give the outline of our proof here. From Theorem 1.1, we can see that, each $p_i^2+p_i+1$ divides $\sigma(N) = 2m^2+1$ and therefore cannot have a prime factor $\equiv 7, 13 \pmod{24}$, which is implicit in the Note of Lemma 3 of [2]. We shall prove that the number of prime $p \le X$ such that p^2+p+1 has no prime factor $\equiv 7, 13 \pmod{24}$ is $< cX/\log^{3/2} X$ with an explicit constant c.

Our sieve argument is based on the author's one used in [21] to prove that an odd perfect number $q^b p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2}\cdots p_t^{2a_t}$ with all p_i in a given finite set S must have a prime factor below an effectively computable constant C depending on S. This method was refined by Fletcher, Nielsen and Ochem[7] and the author[22] to prove 4). Indeed, we can prove the following analogue of 4).

Theorem 1.3. *If $N = p_1^{2a_1} p_2^{2a_2}\cdots p_t^{2a_t}$ is quasiperfect for which there exists a finite set S of primes such that each $2a_i+1$ divisible by a prime in S, then N must have a prime factor below an effectively computable constant C_1 depending only on S.*

C_1 can be taken by

$$x_0 = x_0(l) = \exp\max\{8l, \exp 13.3\}, C_1 = x_0^{2173.5|S|^2}. \tag{1}$$

Finally, we would like to show the following lower bounds concerning quasiperfect number of the form $N = m^2$ with m squarefree.

Theorem 1.4. *If $N = m^2$ with m squarefree is quasiperfect, then $N > \exp 11286440807$ and N must have at least 262665444 distinct prime factors, one of which is ≥ 6180699337.*

Our results supports the conjecture that there exists no quasiperfect number of the form $N = (p_1p_2\cdots p_t)^{2a}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

From a) of Theorem 1.1 and a remark in the introduction we see that, if p is a prime factor of N, then $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$ and p^2+p+1 cannot have a prime factor congruent to 7 or 13 (mod 24). Letting $Q^{\pm}$ be the set of prime numbers $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$ such that p^2+p+1 has no prime factor congruent to 7 or 13 (mod 24), we see that any prime factor of N must be contained in either Q^+ or Q^-. Hence it suffices to show that $\prod_{p>C, p\in Q^+\cup Q^-} \frac{p}{p-1} < 2$.

We would like to introduce some notations in order to apply sieve methods. Let Ω_p be a set of congruent classes modulo each prime p and define $\rho(p)$ to be the number of such congruent class and $S(\Omega) = S(x, \Omega, y)$ to be the set of integers $\le x$ which does not belong to Ω_p for any prime $p \le y$. Let $\Omega_p^{\pm} = \{n \mid (8n\pm 1)((8n\pm 1)^2 + (8n\pm 1) + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod p\}$ for primes p congruent to 7 or 13 (mod 24) and $\Omega_p^{\pm} = \{n \mid 8n \pm 1 \equiv 0 \pmod p\}$ for other

odd primes p. Hence $\rho^{\pm}(2) = 0$, $\rho^{\pm}(p) = 3$ for primes $p \equiv 7, 13 \pmod{24}$ and $\rho^{\pm}(p) = 1$ for the other primes p.

We see that, if $p = 8n \pm 1$ belongs to $Q^{\pm}$ and $p \geq z, n \leq x$, then n must be contained in $S(x, \Omega^{+}, y)$ and therefore

$$\pi^{\pm}(8x \pm 1) \leq y + \left|S(x, \Omega^{\pm}, y)\right| \tag{2}$$

where $\pi^{\pm}(X)$ denotes the number of primes $\leq X$ belonging to $Q^{\pm}$.

In order to estimate $|S(x, \Omega^{\pm}, y)|$, we use the sieve method mentioned in introduction. Let us introduce further notations

$$B(z) = B^{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{\log z} \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{\rho^{\pm}(p) \log p}{p}, \tag{3}$$

$$V(z) = V^{\pm}(z) = \prod_{p \leq z} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^{\pm}(p)}{p}\right) \tag{4}$$

and

$$\psi_0^{\pm}(v, u) = 1 - \exp(-\psi(B^{\pm}(x^{\frac{1}{v}}), v/u)), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\psi(K, t) = \max\left\{0, t \log \frac{t}{K} - t + K\right\} \tag{6}$$

(we believe that this ψ can easily be distinguished from the second Chebyshev prime-counting function).

Now, Theorem 7.14 in [11] and Theorem 2.2.1 in p. 52 of [8] yield that, for any real $v \geq u \geq 2$, we have

$$S(x, \Omega^{\pm}, x^{\frac{1}{u}}) \leq \frac{x + x^{\frac{2}{u}}}{\psi_0^{\pm}(v, u)}, \tag{7}$$

noting that Theorem 2.2.1 in [8] still holds with B replaced by $B(x^{1/v})$ (see Section 3 of [22]).

Now we need to estimate $B(z)$ and $V(z)$. To this end, we need some explicit estimates for the number of primes in arithmetic progressions.

Combining Theorem 1.1 of [12] and Theorem 2.1.1 of [17], we see that, if χ is a character of conducter dividing 24 and $L(\beta + it, \chi) = 0$ with β, t real, then $|t| \geq 2500$ and $\beta < 1 - 1/(6.397 \log 24\,|t|)$. Hence we can replace $R = 6.397$ in Theorem 5 of [4] taking $H = 2500$ and $C_1(\chi) = 1$. We apply Theorem 5 of [4] with $X_4 = 10.72$ to obtain

$$\left|\theta(z; 24, l) - \frac{z}{8}\right| < \frac{0.276381 z}{\log z} \tag{8}$$

for $z \geq \exp RX_4^2$. Moreover, from Table 1 of [17], we have

$$\left|\theta(z; 24, l) - \frac{z}{8}\right| < \frac{0.438783 z}{\log z} \tag{9}$$

for $10^{10} \le z < \exp RX_4^2$ and

$$\left|\theta(z;24,l) - \frac{z}{8}\right| < 1.174\sqrt{z} \tag{10}$$

for $0 \le z < 10^{10}$. Thus, together with some calculations, we can confirm that (9) holds for all $z \ge 7$.

By (9) and partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv l \pmod{24}}} \frac{1}{p} \\
=&\frac{1}{8}\log\log z + M(24,l) + \frac{\theta(z;24,l)-z/8}{z\log z} - \int_z^\infty \frac{(1+\log t)(\theta(t;24,l)-t/8)}{t^2\log^2 t}dt \\
>&\frac{1}{8}\log\log z + M(24,l) - 0.438783\left(\frac{2}{\log z} - \frac{1}{2\log^2 z}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $M(k,l)$ denotes the limit $\lim_{x\to\infty}\sum_{p\le x, p\equiv l \pmod k}(1/p) - (\log\log x)/\varphi(k)$ and it is known that $M(24,7) = 0.003897\cdots\cdots$ and $M(24,13) = -0.0681541\cdots$[14]. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv 7,13 \pmod{24}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} &= \exp \sum_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv 7,13 \pmod{24}}} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2p^2} + \cdots\right) \\
&> \exp 0.01581 + \sum_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv 7,13 \pmod{24}}} \frac{1}{p} \\
&> 0.935968\log^{\frac{1}{4}} z
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for $z > e^{50}$.

With the aid of the estimate

$$\prod_{p\le z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) < \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log z}\left(1 + \frac{1}{5\log^2 z}\right) \tag{13}$$

given in Theorem 6.12 of [5], we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
V(P(z)) &< \prod_{2<p\le z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv 7,13 \pmod{24}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \\
&< \frac{2e^{-\gamma}}{(0.935968)^2\log^{\frac{3}{2}} z}\left(1 + \frac{1}{5\log^2 z}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for $z > e^{50}$.

Next we would like to give an upper bound for $B(z)$. Since, by partial summation again,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{p\le z,\\ p\equiv l \pmod{24}}}\frac{\log p}{p} &= \sum_{\substack{p\le 10^4,\\ p\equiv l \pmod{24}}}\frac{\log p}{p}+\frac{\theta(z;24,l)}{x}+\int_{10^4}^{z}\frac{\theta(t;24,l)}{t^2}dt\\ &<\frac{1}{8}+\frac{0.438783}{\log z}+\frac{\log(z/l)}{8}+\frac{2.348}{\sqrt{10^2}}-\frac{2.348}{10^5}\\ &\quad+0.001022(\log z-4\log 10)\\ &<0.126022\log z\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\sum_{p\le z}\frac{\log p}{p}<\log z-1.33258+\frac{1}{2\log z} \tag{16}$$

given (3.22) in p. 70 of [18], we obtain

$$\sum_{p\le z}\frac{\rho(p)\log(p)}{p}<1.504088\log z \tag{17}$$

for $z>10^{13}$.

(14) and (17) allow us to take $B=1.504088, u=2.0162$ and $v=7.6$ in the sieve inequality (7) and we obtain

$$\left|S(x,\Omega^{\pm},y)\right|\le\frac{38.54489x}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}}x} \tag{18}$$

for $x>\exp 775$ and therefore, by (2),

$$\pi^{\pm}(X)\le\frac{4.831074X}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}}X} \tag{19}$$

for $X>\exp 775$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{p\le C_0,p\in Q^+\cup Q^-}\frac{p}{p-1} &<\exp\sum_{p\le C_0,p\in Q^+\cup Q^-}\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2p^2}+\cdots\right)\\ &<\exp\left(\frac{1}{C_0}+\sum_{p\le C_0,p\in Q^+\cup Q^-}\frac{1}{p}\right)\\ &<\exp\frac{2}{C_0}+\int_{C_0}^{\infty}\frac{9.662148dt}{t\log^{\frac{3}{2}}t}\\ &=\exp\frac{2}{C_0}+\frac{19.3243}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}}C_0}<2,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

recalling that $C_0=\exp 777.243$. This proves Theorem 1.2.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar to Theorem 1.2. Let $a_1, a_2 \pmod{8l}$ be the congruent classes which is congruent to $1 \pmod{l}$ and $5, 7 \pmod{8}$ respectively. Let Q_l be the set of prime numbers p such that $p^{l-1}+p^{l-2}+\cdots+1$ has no prime factor congruent to a_1 or $a_2 \pmod{8l}$ and $\Omega_{l,p} = \{n \mid n(n^{l-1}+n^{l-2}+\cdots+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ for primes p congruent to a_1 or $a_2 \pmod{8l}$. and $\Omega_{l,p} = \{n \mid n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ for other primes. we see that any prime factor of N must be contained in at least one of Q_l's. Hence it suffices to show that $\prod_{p>C_1, p\in Q_l} \frac{p}{p-1} < 2^{1/|S|}$ for all l in S.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we shall obtain an upper bound for $B(z)$ and $V(P(z))$. Let z be an arbitrary real number $\geq x_1 = x_0^{101}$. Then (7) of [22] gives

$$\sum_{p\leq z, p\equiv a_1, a_2 \pmod{8l}} \frac{\log p}{p} < \frac{1}{2\varphi(l)} < \frac{1.01}{2\varphi(l)} \log z \tag{21}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{r\leq z} \frac{\rho(r)\log r}{r} \leq \sum_{r\leq z} \frac{\log r}{r} + \sum_{\substack{r\leq z,\\ r\equiv a_1, a_2 \pmod{8l}}} \frac{(l-1)\log r}{r} < 1.505 \log z. \tag{22}$$

Observing that $z \geq x_1 > \exp\exp 17$ and using (6) of [22], we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(P(z)) \leq & \prod_{r<z} \left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) \prod_{x_0\leq r<z, r\equiv a_1, a_2 \pmod{8l}} \left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)^{l-1} \\ < & \frac{e^{-\gamma}\log^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}} z} \left(1+\frac{1}{\log z}\right) \exp\frac{0.39}{\log^2 x_0} \\ < & \frac{0.56146 \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}} z}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (22) and (23), the sieve inequality (7) with $B = 1.505, u = 2.00002$ and $v = 7.538$ gives that, if $x \geq x_1^{7.538}$, then

$$|S(x, \Omega_l, y)| \leq \frac{16.65734 x \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}} x} \tag{24}$$

and therefore

$$\pi_l(x) \leq \frac{16.65735 x \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0}{\log^{\frac{3}{2}} x}. \tag{25}$$

Since $C_1 = x_0^{2173.5|S|^2} > x_1^{7.538}$, we have, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2,

$$\prod_{p\geq C_1, p\in Q_l} \frac{p}{p-1} < \exp\frac{2}{C_1} + \frac{32.3147 \log^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}} C_1} < 2^{1/|S|} \tag{26}$$

for each prime $l \in S$, which proves Theorem 1.3.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Assume that $N = m^2$ with m squarefree is quasiperfect and let P be the greatest prime factor of N. As noted in the proof of Theorem 1.2, any prime factor of N belongs to $Q^+ \cup Q^-$. Calculation gives

$$\prod_{p<2^{29}, p\in Q^+\cup Q^-} \frac{p^2+p+1}{p^2} < 1.75014319434, \tag{27}$$

$$\prod_{p<2^{29}, p\in Q^+\cup Q^-} p > \exp 62460825.5 \tag{28}$$

and

$$\pi^+(2^{29}) + \pi^-(2^{29}) = 3285696. \tag{29}$$

By Theorem 6.12 of [5], we have

$$\prod_{2^{29}<p\le P} \frac{p}{p-1} < \frac{\log P}{29\log 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{145\log 2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{5\log P}\right). \tag{30}$$

Combined with (27), we obtain

$$\prod_{p\le 6180699302, p\in Q^+\cup Q^-} \frac{p^2+p+1}{p^2} < 2 \tag{31}$$

and therefore we must have $P \ge 6180699337$.

Similarly, Theorem 5.2 of [5] gives

$$\prod_{2^{29}<p\le P} p = \exp(\theta(P) - \theta(2^{29})) > \exp\left(P - \frac{P}{20\log^2 P} - 536842886\right) \tag{32}$$

and, with the aid of (28), we see that $m > \exp 5643220403.5$ and $N > \exp 11286440807$.

Finally, we observe that, using (29) and Theorem 6.9 of [5],

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^+(P) + \pi^-(P) \ge \pi(P) - \pi(2^{29}) + \pi^+(2^{29}) + \pi^-(2^{29}) \\ \ge &\frac{P}{\log P}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log P}+\frac{2.334}{\log^2 P}\right) - \pi(2^{29}) + 3285696 > 262665443.7 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and therefore N must have at least 262665444 prime factors. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] Paolo Cattaneo, Sui numeri quasiperfetti, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (3) **6** (1951), 59–62.

[2] Graeme L. Cohen, The nonexistence of quasiperfect numbers of certain forms, Fibonacci Quart. **20** (1982), 81–84.

[3] G. L. Cohen and R. J. Williams, *Extensions of some results concerning odd perfect numbers*, Fibonacci Quart. **23** (1985), 70–76.

[4] P. Dusart, *Estimates of $\theta(x; k, l)$ for large values of x*, Math. Comp. **71** (2001), 1137–1166.

[5] P. Dusart, *Estimates of some functions over primes without R.H.*, preprint, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.0442`.

[6] Ronald Evans and Jonathan Pearlman, *Nonexistence of odd perfect numbers of a certain form*, Fibonacci Quart. **45** (2007), 122–127.

[7] S. Adam Fletcher, Pace P. Nielsen and Pascal Ochem, *Sieve methods for odd perfect numbers*, Math. Comp. **81** (2012), 1753–1776.

[8] G. Greaves, *Sieves in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[9] Peter Hagis, Jr. and Graeme L. Cohen, —it Some results concerning quasiperfect numbers, J. Aust. Math. Soc. (Ser. A) **33** (1982), 275–286.

[10] P. Hagis Jr. and Wayne L. McDaniel, *A new result concerning the structure of odd perfect numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 13–15.

[11] H. Iwaniec and Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[12] Habiba Kadiri, An explicit zero-free region for the Dirichlet L-functions, preprint `http://arxiv.org/abs/0510570`.

[13] H.-J. Kanold, *Untersuchungen über ungerade vollkommene Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **183** (1941), 98–109.

[14] A. Languasco and A. Zaccagnini, *Computing the Mertens and Meissel-Mertens constants for sums over arithmetic progressions*, Experiment. Math. **19** (2010), 279–284. The complete set of results is available online `http://www.math.unipd.it/~languasc/Mertens-comput.html`.

[15] W. L. McDaniel, *The non-existence of odd perfect numbers of a certain form*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **21** (1970), 52–53.

[16] W. L. McDaniel and P. Hagis Jr., *Some results concerning the non-existence of odd perfect numbers of the form $p^a M^{2\beta}$*, Fibonacci Quart. **13** (1975), 25–28.

[17] O. Ramare and R. Rumely, *Primes in arithmetic progressions*, Math. Comp. **65** (1996), 397–425.

[18] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math.**6** (1962), 64–94.

[19] R. Steuerwald, *Verschärfung einen notwendigen Bedingung für die Existenz einen ungeraden vollkommenen Zahl*, S.-B. Bayer. Akad. Wiss. 1937, 69–72.

[20] T. Yamada, *Odd perfect numbers of a special form*, Colloq. Math. **103** (2005), 303–307.

[21] Tomohiro Yamada, *On the divisibility of odd perfect numbers by a high power of a prime*, preprint, `https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0511410`.

[22] Tomohiro Yamada, *On the divisibility of odd perfect numbers by a high power of a prime, II*, preprint, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08795`.

Tomohiro Yamada
Center for Japanese language and culture
Osaka University
562-8558
8-1-1, Aomatanihigashi, Minoo, Osaka
Japan
e-mail: `tyamada1093@gmail.com`